

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,580 05/14/2004		4/2004	Paul K. MEEKER	43064-0030	3579
24115	7590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	LITTLE & BUR	EDELL, JOSEPH F		
50 S. MAIN STREET AKRON, OH 44308				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3636	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/709,580	MEEKER ET AL.			
Off	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph F Edell	3636			
The M	IAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tile after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiver.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2004.				
2a)☐ This ad	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed	in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of C	Claims					
4a) Of to 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. the above claim(s) is/are withdraves) is/are allowed. s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Pap	ers					
10) The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examine wing(s) filed on 14 May 2004 is/are: a) and any not request that any objection to the coment drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•			
3) Information Dis	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ail Date	the state of the s	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,580

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10 and 23-32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 10-12, and 14-17 of copending Application No. 10/248,998. Also, claims 11-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-37 and 40-43 of copending Application No. 10/248,998. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a non-pivotally connected back member with no means for selectively positioning and retaining the car seat, and no seat member.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,580

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,845,968 to Lovie.

Lovie discloses a car seat having a seat member 50 (Fig. 8), a back member 52 (Fig. 8) pivotally connected to the seat member, a fixed component 54 (Fig. 8) on back member, a telescoping movable component (Fig. 8) overlapping the fixed component and attached in front of the fixed component, a fixed upper headrest area (Fig. 8) on the movable component, channels (Fig. 8) in the fixed component, means for selectively positioning and retaining the movable component relative to the fixed component 98,100 (Fig. 8), and locking means 76 (Fig. 8) of mating teeth and grooves on the fixed component wherein movement of the components relative to each other provides contiguous support and the inner contour of the movable component mates and nests with an outer contour of the fixed component.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 08 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Lovie fails to show a fixed and telescoping movable components of a back member that provide contiguous support for

Art Unit: 3636

an occupant's back. Applicant notes that support 54 (Fig. 8) extends behind the bridge portion 84 (Fig. 8) which may not provide the necessary support for the occupant's back. However, the backrest 52 and support 54 form contiguous surface that is capable of supporting an occupant's back. Although the two surfaces may not provide a planar, cushioned surface, Lovie teaches all the limitations recited in claim 11.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1216. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joe Edell

February 21, 2005